Olivier Vardakoulias Growing for Health Conference: Cost Savings

# **Cost Saving Analysis**

Olivier Vardakoulias



### Outline

- 1. Why doing a cost saving analysis?
- 2. How to do a cost saving analysis
- 3. Using cost saving to build cost-benefit analysis for the State
- 4. Embedding data into broader approaches (e.g. SROI)



Why?



- Beyond an understanding of broader impacts, it is also important to analyse interventions from an efficiency angle.
- The question this type of analysis seeks to answer is: which interventions are the most optimal in delivering change from the point of view of the State/taxpayers.
- For example: investing in prevention can reduce the use of services for treatment of "effects" in the medium to long run. This delivers both value to the stakeholders in question but ALSO to State services.
- A cost saving analysis can tell us how many £ will be saved in the future for each £ invested today
- In the context of mental health interventions, this means investigating how many £ would have been spent in the State health system vs. how many £ are spent with the intervention.



## How? (1)

Growing for Health Conference: Cost Savings

In practice, we can start such an analysis by following two steps:

Measuring / estimating the use of health services and associated costs under a "business as usual" (no intervention) scenario.

Measuring / estimating the use of health services and associated costs for participants in community growing programmes.

The difference between the two is = the benefit of programmes (cost saving) as a consequence of reduced use of healthcare services. *Example:* 

| Benefits                             | Value (£) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Avoided prescription costs           | 258.27    |
| Avoided medical consultation costs   | 408.92    |
| Avoided diabetes complication costs  | 1,815.00  |
| Avoided alcohol outpatient treatment | 4,888.00  |
| TOTAL                                | 7,370.19  |



How? (2)

Growing for Health Conference: Cost Savings

And we continue the analysis by considering cost savings in the form of avoided allowances and/or increased tax contributions as a consequence of moving into employment (if and when applicable):

➢ If a beneficiary moves into employment, then there are avoided allowances (e.g. Jobseekers allowance, disability allowance etc.) brought about by the intervention

If a beneficiary moves into employment, then she/he will increase receipts of the State through NI contributions and Income Tax contributions.

#### Example:

| Benefits                                  | Value (£) |  |
|---|-----------|--|
| Avoided prescription costs                | 258.27    |  |
| Avoided medical consultation costs        | 408.92    |  |
| Avoided community psychiatric nurse costs | 6,968.00  |  |
| Avoided Jobseeker's Allowance             | 2,953.60  |  |
| Avoided Disability Living Allowance       | 1,092.00  |  |
| Increased tax contribution                | 572.90    |  |
| Increased NI contribution                 | 545.94    |  |
| TOTAL                                     | 12,799.63 |  |



#### Olivier Vardakoulias

### Growing for Health Conference: Cost Savings

There are many sources one can use to estimate cost savings. However, a very important document is the PSSRU (Personal Social Services Research Unit) annual report of Kent University, *Unit Costs of Health and Social Care.* 

#### ader ools Window Help 75% - - Find -

#### 2.6 Cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT)

This table is based on costs estimated for a randomised controlled trial of interventions for adolescents with depression. The setting was two Child and Mental Health Services (CAMHS) teams in secondary care where CBT was delivered.<sup>1</sup> Barrett and Petkova summarise CBT costs over 21 studies in a short article at the beginning of this volume.

| Costs and unit            | 2012/2013         | Notes  |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--|
| estimation                | value             |  |
| A. Wages/salary           | £42,359 per       | Based on full-time equivalent basic salary of the July 2012-June 2013 NHS            |
|                           | year              | staff earnings estimates for a specialty doctor (midpoint), clinical                 |
|                           |                   | psychologist (band 8) and mental health nurse (band 5). <sup>2</sup> An average has  |
|                           |                   | been taken of these salaries.  |
| B. Oncosts                | £5,577 per        | Employer's national insurance is included plus 14 per cent of salary for             |
|                           | year              | employer's contribution to superannuation.   |
| C. Qualifications         |                   | No information available.  |
| D. Overheads              |                   | Taken from NHS (England) Summarised accounts. <sup>3</sup>                           |
| Management,               | £9,256 per        | Management and other non-care staff costs were 19.31 per cent of direct              |
| administrative and        | vear              | care salary costs and included administration and estates staff.                     |
| estates staff             | ,                 |  |
|                           |                   |  |
| Non-staff                 | £20,119 per       | Non-staff costs were 41.97 per cent of direct care salary costs. They include        |
|                           | year              | costs to the provider for office, travel/transport and telephone, education          |
|                           | 1 °               | and training, supplies and services (clinical and general), as well as utilities     |
|                           |                   | such as water, gas and electricity.  |
| E. Ongoing training       |                   | Information not available for all care staff.  |
| F. Capital overheads      | £3,387 per        | Based on the new-build and land requirements of an NHS office and shared             |
|                           | year              | facilities, capital costs have been annuitised over 60 years at a discount rate      |
|                           |                   | of 3.5 per cent. <sup>4,5</sup> Based on the assumption that there is one office per |
|                           |                   | team.  |
| Working time              | 43.4 weeks        | Unit costs are based on 1,626 hours per year: 225 working days minus                 |
|                           | per year,         | sickness absence and training/study days as reported for all NHS staff               |
|                           | 37.5 hours        | groups.6   |
|                           | per week          |  |
| Ratio of direct to        | 1:1               | Fifty per cent of time is spent on face-to-face contact and 50 per cent on           |
| indirect time on face-to- |                   | other activities.  |
| face contact              |                   |  |
| Length of contact         | 55 minutes        | Average duration of CBT session.   |
| Unit costs available 2012 | 2013              | ·  |
| £50 per hour: £99 per hou | ir face-to-face o | ontact; £91 cost per CBT session.  |





How? (4)

The biggest "problem" with this type of analyses is determining the counterfactual / business-as-usual / what would have happened anyway, *e.g. can we attribute the fact that the beneficiary found a job to the programme with certainty*?

Two ways to understand and capture business-as-usual:

- Assumptions-based approach
- Empirically-based approach

You'll never get the "perfect" figure – so make your assumptions sensible and realistic. In other terms: don't over-claim!



| Olivier Vardakoulias | Growing for Health Conference: Cost Savings   |
|----------------------|---|
| So what? (1)         | Measuring the "wisdom of prevention":<br>http://s.bsd.net/nefoundation/default/page/file/b8278023a5<br>b025649f_5zm6i2btg.pdf |
|                      | In other terms: are taxpayers better off under the current  |

"standard" system or through more innovative and holistic interventions?

- Using figures to produce Cost-Benefit ratios from the point of view of the State
- Using figures in SROI analysis



Some case studies

### You can check out:

- The Economic Benefits of Ecominds:
  <u>http://www.mind.org.uk/media/338566/The-Economic-Benefits-of-Ecominds-report.pdf</u>
- Taking Stock: Assessing the Value of Preventative support:

http://www.redcross.org.uk/About-

us/~/media/BritishRedCross/Documents/About%20us/Taki ng%20stock%20-

<u>%20assessing%20the%20value%20of%20preventative%2</u> <u>0support.pdf</u>



Olivier Vardakoulias Growing for Health Conference: Cost Savings

## **THANK YOU!**

